

Effects of Zinc, Manganese, and Sulphur on the Growth and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik Red

Asha Nama^{1*}, P.K. Kharana² and Rakesh Kumar Meena³

¹Department of Horticulture, MJRP University, Jaipur, (Rajasthan)

²Department of Horticulture, R.B (P.G.) College, Agra (U.P.)

³Department of Horticulture, Career Point University, Kota (Rajasthan)

*Corresponding Author E-mail: asha.nama@cpur.edu.in

Received: 15.07.2021 | Revised: 21.08.2021 | Accepted: 27.08.2021

ABSTRACT

*Optimistic and sustainable supply of nutrients to soil affects crop productivity and crop quality. In the present investigation, effects of Zinc (Zn), Sulphur (S), and Manganese (Mn) was observed on growth and quality attributes of onion (*Allium cepa* L. cv. NasikRed), belongs to family Alliaceae. For this, micronutrients were applied to soil in 8 combinations including 1 control (having no micronutrient). soil application of micronutrients ZnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha + CuSO 4 10 kg/ha + MnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha in combination with 50 kg N, 40 kg P 2 O 5 and 40 kg K 2 O per hectare before transplanting the onion crop is the most beneficial treatment for obtaining higher vegetative growth and quality of onion bulbs resulted high net income and maximum benefit of per rupee invested. However, for low input technology soil application of ZnSO 4 @ 10kg/ha + recommended dose of NPK can also be followed.*

Keywords: *Onion, Zinc, Sulphur, Manganese.*

INTRODUCTION

Allium cepa L. (Onion), belongs to family Alliaceae, is bulb crop which has importance of a cash crop in recent years due to high export potential throughout the world. Major onion producing countries are China, India, USA, Pakistan, turkey, Russia, Iran, Brazil, Mexico, and Spain (Choudhary, 2018). Quality of onions can be measured by Sulphur content in it. S is important element in onion. It influences growth functions (nitrogen metabolism, enzyme activity, and protein and

oil synthesis), yield and quality of bulbs of onions positively (Judita, et al., 2014). Besides S, total soluble solids, and dry matter content are indicator of quality of onions. Total soluble solids (TSS) are positively corelated with dry matter content (DMC) (Jongtae, et al., 2016).

Physical and biochemical properties of any crop depend upon fertility of soil. Various essential macronutrients along with micronutrients present in soil play important roles in production of a good quality crop (Tripathi, et al., 2015).

Cite this article: Nama, A., Kharana, P. K., & Meena, R. K. (2021). Effects of Zinc, Manganese, and Sulphur on the Growth and Quality of Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik Red, *Curr. Res. Agri. Far.* 2(4), 36-39. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2582-7146.150>

This article is published under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Potassium (K) are important macronutrients which have various roles in plant growth and development (Barita, et al., 2018). Zinc (Zn), Sulphur (S), and Manganese (Mn) are important micronutrients which are involved in growth, development, and metabolism of plants (Vadlamudi, et al., 2020; & Santiago, et al., 2020).

In the present study, we worked to observe effects of Sulphur (S), Zinc (Zn), Manganese (Mn) in different combinations along with N, P, and K in soil on the growth and quality attributes of *Allium cepa* L. cv. NasikRed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation, & quot; Effect of Zinc, Manganese and Sulphur on growth, and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik red was conducted during rabi season 2015-16 at the Horticultural Research Farm, R.B. (P.G.) College, Agra U.P. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications. There were eight treatments comprised of NPK alone (T 1) NPK+ ZnSO 4 @10 kg/ha (T 2), NPK+MnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha (T 3), NPK+ sulphur @ 10 kg/ha (T 4), NPK+ZnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha + MnSO 4, @ 10 kg/ha (T 5), NPK+ ZnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha + sulphur @ 10 kg/ha (T 6), NPK+ MnSO 4 @ 10kg/ha + sulphur @ 10 kg/ha (T 7) and NPK+ ZnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha +MnSO 4 @ 10 kg/ha + sulphur @ 10 kg/ha (T 8).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The progressive data on the growth in terms of plants height and number of leaves as influenced by application of various treatments are presented in Table 1.

(i) Plant height (cm)

It was observed that maximum plant height was recorded in T₃ plants at 45 DAT (50.65±1.15 cm), in T₈ at 60 DAT (55.83±0.71 cm), and in T₈ at 75 days after transplantation (62.95±0.34 cm).

The minimum plant height was observed in control T₁ at 45 DAT (47.00±0.23 cm), 60 DAT (49.50±1.12 cm), and 75 DAT (53.10±0.49 cm).

It was observed that the difference in plant height due to all micro-nutrient treatments was marginal and could not cross the level of significance. But the difference in plant height between control T₁ with rest of the treatments were significantly different.

(ii). Number of leaves per plant

It was observed from data that the differences in number of leaves per plant by the application of Zinc, Manganese and Sulphur application at were found statistically non-significant at all the stages of crop growth.

The maximum number of leaves at 45 days after transplanting were observed in T₅ (5.67±0.74) and minimum (5.00±0.76) in control (T₁). At 60 days after transplanting maximum number of leaves were recorded in T₈ (6.12±0.28) and minimum in control T₁ (5.69±0.58). The maximum number of leaves per plant were recorded in T₈ (7.65±0.28) while minimum was recorded with the control T₁ (6.10±0.15).

It was analysed that T₈ produced maximum number of leaves at 75 DAT among all the plants. It was also observed that number of leaves increased in plants at 75 DAT as compared to 45 and 60 DAT.

The difference in number of leaves in all the treated plants was not significant but these were significantly different when compared to control T₁ plants.

Table 1: Effects of Zinc, Manganese, and Sulphur on the growth and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik red

Plant	Treatment	Plant height (cm)			Number of leaves		
		45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT	45 DAT	60 DAT	75 DAT
T ₁	Control	47.00±0.23	49.50±1.12	53.10±0.49	5.00±0.76	5.69±0.58	6.10±0.15
T ₂	Zn	48.23±0.46	54.97±0.28	57.17±1.03	5.42±0.54	5.95±1.06	6.75±0.64
T ₃	Mn	50.65±1.15	53.72±0.48	56.81±0.78	5.20±0.28	5.65±1.14	6.45±0.25
T ₄	S	48.58±1.75	53.00±1.78	55.90±0.37	4.95±0.18	5.75±0.76	6.25±0.17
T ₅	Zn + Mn	49.20±0.92	55.57±0.88	61.22±0.87	5.67±0.74	5.98±0.20	6.65±1.16
T ₆	Zn + S	48.78±0.38	53.56±0.39	56.75±1.21	4.95±0.35	5.78±0.27	6.55±0.26
T ₇	S + Mn	47.85±0.89	53.35±0.97	57.10±0.47	5.29±0.17	5.75±0.67	6.54±0.64
T ₈	Zn + Mn+S	48.85±0.67	55.83±0.71	62.95±0.34	5.85±1.08	6.12±0.28	7.65±0.28

Note*: DAT- Day after transplantation

3. Quality Attributes

The results pertaining to the qualitative attributes of onion as affected by micronutrients (Zn, Mn and Sulphur) application are given below. These results are shown in Table 1.

(i). Total soluble solids (%)

The maximum total soluble solids were found in T₈ (15.92 ±0.37 %) followed by T₂>T₅>T₇>T₆>T₃>T₄>T₁. The minimum TSS were found in control T₁ (11.62 ±0.24 %).

Results showed that the effect of micronutrients application on the total soluble solids of onion bulbs were observed significant. In treated plants, TSS were found significantly higher when compared with control.

(ii). Dry matter content (%)

The maximum dry matter content (%) was recorded with the combined application of Zn, Mn and S in T₈ (14.95±0.47 %) followed by T₅>T₃>T₆>T₇>T₄>T₂>T₁. The minimum dry

matter content was found in T₁ (12.15±1.13 %) which was control.

In treated plants, dry matter content was significantly higher than that in control plants.

(iii) Sulphur content (%)

The maximum sulphur contents were found in T₈ plants (0.46±0.85 %) followed by T₂>T₅>T₆>T₇>T₃>T₄>T₁. The minimum sulphur contents were found in T₁ plants (0.26±0.75%) which were control.

Results revealed that the micronutrients application had significant effect on sulphur content in onion bulbs. The magnitude of increase in sulphur content with the combined application of Zn, Mn and Sulphur (T₈) was to the tune of 15.0 to 76.9 % over all other treatments. The variation in sulphur content with the treatments T₂ to T₇ was not appreciable and these treatments were statistically at par among themselves but had significantly higher sulphur content as compared to control T₁.

Table 2: Effects of Zinc, Manganese, and Sulphur on the growth and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Nasik red

Plant	Treatment	Total soluble solids	Dry matter	Sulphur
T ₁	Control	11.62±0.24	12.15±1.13	0.26±0.75
T ₂	Zn	15.75±0.18	12.95±0.33	0.40±0.26
T ₃	Mn	13.72±0.56	13.65±0.54	0.37±0.22
T ₄	S	13.26±0.26	13.40±0.24	0.36±0.62
T ₅	Zn + Mn	15.02±1.04	14.26±0.21	0.38±1.17
T ₆	Zn + S	14.26±0.58	13.45±0.67	0.37±0.46
T ₇	Mn+S	14.50±0.11	13.42±1.54	0.37±0.27
T ₈	Zn + Mn+S	15.92±0.37	14.95±0.47	0.46±0.85

REFERENCES

- A. O. A. C. (1960). Official methods of analysis. *Benjaminparankli station, Ninth edition, Washington*, 4 DC. PP. 282.
- Barita, Y., Prihastanti, E., Haryanti, S., Subagi, A., & Nagadiwiyanana, (2018). The influence of granting npk fertilizer and nanosilic fertilizers on the growth of Ganyong plant (*Canna edulis* Ker.). *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of physics: Conf. series. 1025*, 012054.
- Bouyoucos, G. J. (1962). Hydrometer method improved for making particle size analysis of soils. *Agronomy journal. 54*, 464-465.
- Choudhary, D. R. (2018). Scientific cultivation of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Phytochemistry of fruits and vegetables, Brillion Publishing, New Delhi*. pp. 239-260.
- Hanway, J. J., & Heidal, H. (1952). Soil analysis methods as used in Iowa State College Soil Testing Laboratory. *Iowa State College of Agriculture bulletin, 57*, 1-31.
- Jackson, M. L. (1967). Soil chemical analysis. *Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi*, pp. 111-204.

- Jongtae, L., Injong, H., Heedae, K., Silim, C., Sangdae, L., Jumsoon, K., & George, E. B. (2016). Regional differences in onion bulb quality and nutrient content, and the correlation between bulb characteristics and storage loss. *Horticultural Science and technology*. 807-817.
- Judita, B., Petra, K., Alena, V., Jan, T., & Matyas, O. (2014). The role of sulphur on the content of total polyphenols and antioxidant activity in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). *Potravinarstvo Scientific journal for Food industry*. 8(1), 284-289.
- Olsen, S. R., Cole, C. B. T., Watanable, P. S., & Deam, L. D. (1954). Estimation of available phosphorus in soil by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. *U.S. Agriculture Circular*. Pp. 937.
- Panse, V. G., & Sukhatme, P. V. (1967). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. *ICAR, New Delhi*.
- Piper, C. S. (1996). Soil and plant analysis. *Hassed Press Uni. Of Adelaide (Aust.)*.
- Ranganna, S. (1977). Manual of analysis of fruits and vegetable products. *Tata McGraw-Hill, New York*.
- Richards, L. A. (1965). Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soil. *U.S.D.A. Handbook No. 60, Washington*.
- Richards, L. A. (1965). Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soil. *U.S.D.A. Handbook No. 60, Washington*.
- Santiago, A., Stefanie, H., Bastian, M., & Edgar, P. (2020). Manganese in plants: from acquisition to subcellular allocation. *Front. Plant Sci*.
- Tripathi, D. K., Singh, S., Singh, S., Mishra, S., Chauhan, D. K., & Dubey, N. K. (2015). Micronutrients and their diverse role in agricultural crops: advances and future prospective. *Acta Physiol Plant*. 37(139), 1-14.
- Vadlamudi, K., Upaadhayay, H., Singh, A., & Reddy, M. (2020). Influence of Zinc application in plant growth: an overview. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical medicine*. 7(07), 2321- 232.